

INTRODUCTION

This poster is about multiplicative structure of the center of the *small quantum group* (to be defined next paragraph). The center is realized in terms of certain sheaf cohomology on the Springer resolution in [1] and [2]. The present work reduces the question of the multiplicative structure of the center to the computation of certain cup-product in the sheaf cohomology of the flag varieties, which might be computable using geometric methods.

THE SMALL QUANTUM GROUP

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple complex Lie algebra, and $\ell \geq 3$ be an odd integer ℓ . To this data, Lusztig associated a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $u_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$, called the *small quantum group*, which is a finite-dimensional version of a quantum group at root of unity. An important open question is a combinatorial description of the center of the small quantum group. We will focus here on the center of the principal block $u_0 \subset u_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$. Its structure is independent of ℓ .

BEZRUKAVNIKOV-LACHOWSKA'S WORK

In [2], the main result was a geometric realization of $z_0 := z(u_0)$. We state the result and will explain the notation :

Theorem 1 *There is an isomorphism of bigraded vector spaces*

$$z_0 \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+k=0} H^i(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j(T\tilde{\mathcal{N}}))^k$$

Here, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = T^*(G/B)$ is the cotangent bundle of the flag variety G/B associated to the algebraic group of adjoint type G associated to \mathfrak{g} and a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$. The subscript k comes from a grading induced by the \mathbb{C}^* action by dilation on the fibers of the projection $p : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow G/B$.

RESULTS

The proof of theorem 1 is based on an equivalence of derived categories

$$D^b(u_0) \cong D^b(\text{Coh}^{\mathbb{C}^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}))$$

and the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem stating that for a smooth algebraic variety X there is a vector space isomorphism

$$HH^\bullet(X) := \text{Ext}_{X \times X}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=\bullet} H^i(X, \wedge^j TX) =: HT^\bullet(X)$$

However, the natural map is usually not a ring isomorphism. The following theorem explains how to obtain a ring isomorphism :

Theorem 2 (Kontsevitch) *Let t be the Todd class of X . Then, twisting with $t^{-1/2}$ gives a ring isomorphism $HH^\bullet(X) \cong HT^\bullet(X)$.*

We verified that under a torus T action, the Todd class is T -invariant and the HKR isomorphism is T -equivariant. We obtain our main result :

Theorem 3 [3] *The composition*

$$HH^\bullet(u_0(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{HKR} \bigoplus_{i+j+k=\bullet} H^i(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\tilde{\mathcal{N}})^k \xrightarrow{\langle -, \text{Todd}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})^{-1/2} \rangle} \bigoplus_{i+j+k=\bullet} H^i(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j T\tilde{\mathcal{N}})^k$$

is a ring isomorphism.

Corollary 1 *Twisting by the Todd class of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ gives a ring isomorphism*

$$z_0 \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+k=0} H^i(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^j(T\tilde{\mathcal{N}}))^k$$

The theorem 3 essentially follows from these two propositions (here X is a smooth complex algebraic variety acted upon by a torus T) :

Proposition 1 [3] *In the derived category $D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$, the quasi-isomorphism*

$$\iota^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta \cong \bigoplus_{i \in X} \Omega_X^i[i]$$

is T -equivariant.

Here $\iota : \Delta \rightarrow X \times X$ is the inclusion map.

Proposition 2 [3] *Let $t \in H\Omega^\bullet(X)$ be the Todd class of X . Then t is T -invariant.*

In particular twisting the HKR isomorphism with $t^{-1/2}$ gives a T -equivariant multiplicative isomorphism $HH^\bullet(X) \cong HT^\bullet(X)$. We hope that a similar statement holds where T is replaced by a reductive group G .

EXAMPLE

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$. The bigraded dimensions of z_0 are as follows :

$j - i$	0	2	4	6
$i + j = 0$	1			
$i + j = 2$	2	1		
$i + j = 4$	2	3	1	
$i + j = 6$	1	2	2	1

Geometrically, the first column is canonically isomorphic to the cohomology of the flag variety, and the big diagonal correspond to the subalgebra spanned by the Poisson bivector field $\tau \in H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \wedge^2 T\tilde{\mathcal{N}})$. An easy geometric argument shows :

Proposition 3 [3] *The subalgebra generated by $H^*(G/B)$ and τ is untwisted.*

For \mathfrak{sl}_3 , it describes the multiplicative structure of a codimension 1 subalgebra of z_0 .

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bezrukavnikov, A. Lachowska : *The center of the small quantum group and the Springer resolution*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0609819>
- [2] A. Lachowska, Qi You, *The center of the small quantum groups I: the principal block in type A*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.07380>.
- [3] N. Hemelsoet, *Twisted equivariant HKR theorem for torus action and the small quantum group*, preprint.